



Docket No. 245501US41X CONT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Jean-Francois SAINT ETIENNE, et GAU: 2145  
al.

SERIAL NO: 10/717,659

EXAMINER:

FILED: November 21, 2003

FOR: PROCESS AND SYSTEM EVALUATING DETERMINISTIC BEHAVIOR OF A  
PACKET SWITCHING NETWORK

**SUBMISSION NOTICE REGARDING PRIORITY DOCUMENT(S)**

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

Certified copies of the Convention Application(s) corresponding to the above-captioned matter:

- ☒ are submitted herewith
- ☐ were filed in prior application filed
- ☐ were submitted to the International Bureau in PCT Application Number \_\_\_\_\_  
Receipt of the certified copies by the International Bureau in a timely manner under PCT Rule 17.1(a) has been acknowledged as evidenced by the attached PCT/IB/304.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Philippe J.C. Signore, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 43,922

Customer Number

**22850**

Tel. (703) 413-3000  
Fax. (703) 413-2220  
(OSMMN 11/04)

**Surinder Sachar**  
Registration No. 34,423

INPI  
Institut National de  
la Propriété Industrielle<sup>1</sup>

PATENT

CERTIFICATE OF UTILITY - CERTIFICATE OF ADDITION

**OFFICIAL COPY**

The Director General of *Institut national de la Propriété Industrielle*<sup>1</sup> certifies that the document appended hereto is a certified true copy of an application for a certificate of patent rights filed with this office.

Issued in Paris, on: ..... 25 OCTOBER 2005

Signed: Martine PLANCHE  
Head of Patent Division

on behalf of the General Director  
*Institut National de la Propriété Industrielle*<sup>1</sup>

INSTITUT  
NATIONAL DE  
LA PROPRIÉTÉ  
INDUSTRIELLE

Head Office  
26 bis, rue de Saint Pétersbourg  
75800 PARIS Cedex 08  
Telephone: 33 (0)1 53 04 53 04  
Fax: 33 (0)1 53 04 45 23  
[www.inpi.fr](http://www.inpi.fr)

National public corporation

Set up under act of law n° 51-444 of 19 April 1951

---

<sup>1</sup> French Patent Office

**INPI**  
26 bis, rue de Saint Pétersbourg  
75800 Paris Cedex 08

**PATENT *cerfa n° 11354\*04***  
**CERTIFICATE OF UTILITY**  
Code of Intellectual Property Rights – Book VI

**BRI**

For further information: INPI DIRECT  
N° Indigo: 0825 83 85 87 (€ 0.15 / min)  
Fax : 33 (0)1 53 04 52 65

**APPLICATION FOR ISSUE OF CERTIFICATE 1/2**

Form to be filled in legibly using black ink

<b>Space reserved for the INPI office</b> <b>SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS:</b> DATE : <b>5 NOV 2001</b> PLACE: <b>75 INPI PARIS</b> NATIONAL REGISTRATION NUMBER ISSUED BY THE INPI OFFICE : <b>0114261</b> DATE OF FILING GIVEN BY THE INPI OFFICE: <b>5 NOV 2001</b>		<b>1. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT OR AGENT TO WHOM CORRESPONDENCE IS TO BE SENT</b>  <b>BREVALEX</b>  <b>3 rue du Docteur Lancereaux</b>  <b>75008 PARIS</b>	
<b>Your references for this file:</b> (optional) SP 18415.69/DB <b>DOS 1429</b>			
<b>Confirmation of filing by facsimile</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> N° allocated by the INPI office to the facsimile message	
<b>2. TYPE OF APPLICATION</b>		<b>Tick one of the 4 following boxes</b>	
Patent application		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Application for certificate of utility		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Divisional application		<input type="checkbox"/>	
<i>Initial application for patent</i>		N°	Date .... / .... / .....
<i>or initial application for certificate of utility</i>		N°	Date .... / .... / .....
Conversion of an application for a European patent		<input type="checkbox"/>	Date .... / .... / .....
<i>Initial patent application</i>		N°	Date .... / .... / .....
<b>3 TITLE OF INVENTION (no more than 200 characters or spaces)</b>  <b>PROCESS FOR CHECKING THE DETERMINISTIC BEHAVIOUR OF A PACKET SWITCHING NETWORK</b>			
<b>4 STATEMENT OF PRIORITY OR REQUEST TO BENEFIT FROM FILING DATE OF A PRIOR FRENCH APPLICATION</b>		Country or organization Date [.... / .... / .....] N°  Country or organization Date [.... / .... / .....] N°  Country or organization Date [.... / .... / .....] N°  <input type="checkbox"/> In the event of other priorities, tick the box and use "Continuation" sheet	
<b>5 APPLICANT</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> In the event of more than one applicant, tick the box and use "Continuation" sheet	
Name or company name		AIRBUS FRANCE.	
Forenames			
Legal form		French joint stock company	
N° SIREN		[ . . . . . ]	
APE-NAF Code		[ . . . . ]	
Address		Street	316 route de Bayonne
		Postal Code and town	31060 TOULOUSE
Country		FRANCE	
Nationality		FRENCH	
Telephone n° (optional)			
Fax n° (optional)			
E-mail (optional)			

INPI

**PATENT  
CERTIFICATE OF UTILITY  
APPLICATION FOR ISSUE OF CERTIFICATE**

BR2

2/2

Space reserved for the INPI office <b>SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS:</b> DATE: <b>5 NOV 2001</b> PLACE: <b>75 INPI PARIS</b> NATIONAL REGISTRATION NUMBER ISSUED BY THE INPI OFFICE: <b>0114261</b>			
Your reference for this file <i>(optional)</i>		SP 18415.69/DB DOS 1429DA	
<b>6. AGENT</b> <i>(if any)</i>			
Name		DU BOISBAUDRY	
Forename		Dominique	
Office or company		BREVALEX	
Permanent proxy and/or contractual relationship number		CPI 95 304	
Address	Street	3 rue du Docteur Lancereaux	
	Postal Code and Town	75008	PARIS
	Country	FRANCE	
Telephone n° <i>(optional)</i>		+33(0)1 53 83 94 00	
Fax n° <i>(optional)</i>		+33(0)1 45 63 83 33	
E-mail <i>(optional)</i>		spibrev@easynet.fr	
<b>7 INVENTOR(S)</b>			
The inventors are the applicants		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No In this case provide a separate inventor designation	
<b>8 RESEARCH REPORT</b>			
		Solely for a patent application (including division and conversion)	
Immediate issue or deferred issue		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Payment of fees in instalments		Payment in three instalments ,solely for natural persons filing their own papers in person <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	
<b>9 REDUCTION IN FEE RATE</b>			
		Solely for physical persons <input type="checkbox"/> Requested for the first time for this invention <i>(enclose notice of tax exemption)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Requested prior to this filing <i>(enclose a copy of decision granting reduction for this invention or indicate its reference) : AG</i>	
If you have used a "Continuation" sheet, indicate the number of appended pages			
<b>10 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR AGENT</b> (Name and capacity of signatory)      ] [signature] D. DUBOISBAUDRY C.P.I. 95 304		<b>STAMP OF PREFECTURE OR INPI OFFICE</b>  [signature]	

Act of law n° 78-17 of 6 January 1978 on computerized data, files and personal rights applies to the answers given in this form. It guarantees the right of access and correction of information held by the INPI office in respect of the applicant

PROCESS FOR CHECKING THE DETERMINISTIC BEHAVIOR OF A  
PACKET SWITCHING NETWORK

DESCRIPTION

Technical field

This invention relates to a process for checking the deterministic behavior of a packet switching network, particularly in avionics.

State of prior art

5       The processes described in documents according to prior art, references [1], [2] and [3] at the end of this description, are based on statistical considerations adapted to land telecommunication networks, but which are not easily adapted for an aircraft.

10       The purpose of this invention is to check that a packet switching network actually has a deterministic behavior, particularly in avionics.

Presentation of the invention

15       The invention proposes a process for checking the deterministic behavior of a packet switching network comprising subscriber stations connected to each other through at least one switch, this behavior being said to be deterministic in the sense that any packet sent on the network from a source subscriber station reaches the  
20       destination subscriber station(s) within a duration that is limited in time, and characterized in that the

following relation is satisfied for each output port from each switch on the network:

$$\sum_{\substack{i: \text{ number of virtual links} \\ \text{passing through the buffer}}} \left[ 1 + \text{int} \left( \frac{(\text{Jitter In})_i + \text{max Latency}}{\text{BAG}_i} \right) \right] * (\text{max frame duration}) \leq \text{max latency}$$

5 in which:

- the max latency value is the maximum residence time in the output buffer of a switch, this value may be different for each switch in the network.
- BAG<sub>i</sub> is the minimum time between two consecutive frames belonging to a vertical link i, before they are transmitted on the physical support.
- (Jitter In)<sub>i</sub> is the Jitter associated with a virtual link i that represents the time interval between the theoretical instant at which a frame is transmitted, and its effective transmission which may be before or after the theoretical instant.
- (max frame duration)<sub>i</sub> is the duration of the longest frame on the virtual link i.

20 In another embodiment, virtual links are added one by one, checking that the behavior of the entire network actually remains deterministic after each addition of a virtual link.

25 In avionics, the invention solves a security requirement that is of prime importance for the transport of information on an aircraft, called "determinism". It

is essential that data is actually received within a maximum time after being sent to a destination, and this maximum time must be known.

5 The process according to the invention has the advantage that it is extremely easy to use (only one equation for each output port). It is analytic and requires only very little information about the network characteristics (maximum latency per switch, BAG and subscriber jitter).

10 The invention is useful for all packet switching networks for which a particularly quality service is required in terms of information routing guarantee, for example "Fast Ethernet", ATM ("Asynchronous Transfer Mode"), etc.

15 Preferred applications are aeronautics (civil and military), space, marine and nuclear.

#### Brief description of the figures

Figure 1 illustrates a model of an end system.

20 Figure 2 illustrates jitter for a regular flow.

Figures 3A to 3C illustrate the position of frames within their jitter window.

Figure 4 illustrates a switch model.

25 Figures 5A to 5G illustrate the position of the sliding window for an example (BAG, Jitter In).

Figure 6 illustrates an example topology.

Figure 7 illustrates the number of virtual links for the topology illustrated in figure 6.

Figures 8A and 8B illustrate an example aggregation of virtual links.

Figure 9 illustrates an example embodiment in avionics.

5

Detailed description of embodiments

The invention relates to a process for checking the deterministic behavior of a packet switching network.  
10 This process guarantees that such a network has a deterministic behavior, in the sense that any packet sent on the network from a source node reaches the destination node(s) within a duration that is limited in time. Such a process is applicable to all networks based on packet  
15 switching or frame switching or cell switching. It makes it possible to be certain that the configuration of a network through switch routing tables and the frame flows passing through the switches, conforms with a deterministic behavior.

20 In the remainder of the description, an end system refers to a node in a network capable of generating and receiving frames but which is not an intermediate node (switch, router, gateway, etc.) that is designed to route the frames in the network. When an intermediate node is  
25 the source of a flow of frames addressed to one or several end systems, it behaves like an end system.

A virtual link (VL) is a logical connection between a source end system and one or several destination end systems.



Each virtual link has a specific value called the Bandwidth Allocation Gap (BAG), which has one second as its unit, which represents the minimum time separating two consecutive frames belonging to the virtual link in question before they are sent on the physical support.

A model of an end system is shown in figure 1. The input is irregular flows of packets from applications (asynchronous flows between virtual links VL1, VL2, VL3). Packet flows are then regulated by means of regulators each corresponding to a virtual link in order to separate packets in BAG gaps. A multiplexer then outputs a flow of frames on the physical support.

The jitter associated with a virtual link represents the time interval between the theoretical moment at which a frame is transmitted (relative to the BAG) and when it is actually transmitted, which may be before or after the theoretical instant.

The flow of frames in a virtual link is entirely characterized by the (BAG, max Jitter) pair, in which max jitter is the maximum value of the instantaneous jitter than can be obtained for this virtual link.

The term jitter refers to the max jitter throughout the remainder of this document.

For a virtual link for which the flow is maximum (always one frame to be sent) and regular, there is one frame precisely at each BAG gap as shown in figure 2. The jitter associated with this virtual link is zero.

In the general case, the start of the frame transmission may occur at any position within the jitter gap. If the frame 1 is delayed as it passes through the switch, and then a few instants later frame 2 in the same virtual link is only slightly delayed, the BAG value is  
5 no longer respected. Therefore the flow of frames in the same virtual link has a certain jitter relative to the BAG value.

The three cases illustrated in figures 3A, 3B and 3C  
10 show the position of the frames within their jitter window.

Figure 3A illustrates the case in which  $\text{Jitter} < \text{BAG}$ .

Figure 3B illustrates the case in which  $\text{Jitter} =$   
15  $\text{BAG}$ . When  $\text{Jitter} = \text{BAG}$ , there is a purely theoretical possibility that a frame will be overlapped by another frame that is very early. Since the transmission order is guaranteed, this possibility is prohibited since a frame transmitted after another frame cannot overlap or  
20 be later than the other frame. Therefore, the two frames appear side by side (called frame bursts).

Figure 3C illustrates the case in which  $\text{Jitter} >$   
 $\text{BAG}$ . Jitters mutually overlap and frame 2 is transmitted immediately after frame 1. There is a burst.

25 The jitter associated with each virtual link at the output from an end system, which is equal to Jitter ES, is equal to the contention that takes place at the output from the end system in which several regulated flows want to access the same FIFO (First In-First Out) output

register. Its value depends on a number of variables including the number of virtual links connected to the end system.

Thus, all virtual links output from an end system  
5 have the (BAG, Jitter ES) characteristic.

A switch model is illustrated in figure 4, with input buffers 30, a demultiplexer 31, a multiplexer 32 and output buffers 33.

According to this model, it can be seen that there  
10 will be "more or less" contention for access to the output ports, depending on the configuration of the switch (forwarding table) and the flow characteristics of virtual links arriving in the input ports. The effect of this contention is to generate delays and therefore  
15 pollution on the flow characteristic of each virtual link at the output ports.

Depending on the instantaneous load of a switch, a frame may either remain in the switch for a minimum time (minimum latency) or remain in it for a maximum time  
20 (maximum latency of the switch) or remain in it for any intermediate duration.

If the flow characteristic of a virtual link input into the switch is (BAG, Jitter In), then the magnifying disturbance generated by the switch will introduce a new  
25 characteristic for the flow in the same virtual link at the output from the switch: (BAG, Jitter Out) where  $\text{Jitter Out} = \text{Jitter In} + \text{max latency}$ .

In order to demonstrate determinism, it is necessary to size the output buffers such that no frames are lost,

using a given switch configuration as a starting point together with the characteristics of the virtual links passing through the switch.

For a given virtual link with the (BAG, Jitter In) characteristic, the formula giving the maximum number of frames associated with this virtual link that can take place during a sliding window FG with a duration of T seconds, is:

$$N = 1 + \text{int} \left( \frac{\text{Jitter In} + T}{\text{BAG}} \right) \text{ unit} = \text{frames per sliding}$$

10 window T

where the function  $\text{int}(x)$  returns the integer part of  $x$  (to round to the next lowest integer)

- for  $x$  from  $[0, 1[$ ,  $\text{int}(x) = 0$

- for  $x$  from  $[1, 2[$ ,  $\text{int}(x) = 1$

15 - etc.

For example, if the reference interval  $T = 1$  ms is used, this formula implies:

-  $\text{BAG} + 2 \text{ ms/Jitter In} = 0.5 \text{ ms} \Rightarrow 1 + \text{int} ((0, 5+1)/2) = 1$  frame max/ms (see figure 5A).

20

-  $\text{BAG} = 2 \text{ ms/Jitter In} = 1 \text{ ms} \Rightarrow 1 + \text{int} ((1+1)/2) = 2$  frames max/ms (see figure 5B). In this case, two frame transmission events may take place during 1 ms and therefore two complete frames may be located in the buffer (we might thought that there would only be one frame during 1 ms).

25

- BAG = 2 ms/Jitter In = 1.5 ms => 1 + int((1.5+1)/2) = 2 max frame 2 frames max/ms (see figure 5C)

5        - BAG = 2 ms/Jitter In = 2 ms => 1 + int((2+1)/2) = 2 frames max/ms (see figure 5D)

- BAG = 2 ms/Jitter In = 2.5 ms => 1 + int((2.5+1)/2) = 2 frames max/ms (see figure 5E)

10

- BAG = 2 ms/Jitter In = 3 ms => 1 + int((3+1)/2) = 3 frames max/ms (see figure 5F)

15        - BAG = 2 ms/Jitter In = 4 ms => 1 + int((4+1)/2) = 3 frames max/ms (see figure 5G).

To prevent congestion of a switch output buffer so that frames will never be lost, a switch is necessary for each output port and the following relation must be  
20        satisfied for all switches in the network.

$$\sum_{\substack{i: \text{ number of virtual links} \\ \text{passing through the buffer}}} \left[ 1 + \text{int} \left( \frac{(\text{Jitter In})_i + \text{max Latency}}{\text{BAG}_i} \right) \right] * (\text{max frame duration}) \leq \text{max latency}$$

The max latency value is the maximum residence time in a switch output buffer and it may be different for  
25        each switch in the network. The left part represents the duration of all frames of all virtual links that can

reside in a switch output buffer and using the max latency time as the sliding window. If this relation is satisfied, there is no congestion and the flow characteristic of a virtual link is transformed from  
 5 (BAG, Jitter In) to (BAG, Jitter Out = Jitter In + max latency). In other words, the switch configuration agrees with the performances of the switch (max latency).

#### Application to a simple network

10 Figure 6 illustrates a topology. It is considered that each end system ES1, ES2, ES3 or ES4 has virtual links that lead to all other end systems ("broadcast" case). Each end system has an identical number  $N_i$  of virtual links with characteristics BAG = 2 ms and Jitter  
 15 ES = 0.5 ms.

Figure 7 shows a diagram representing the number  $N_i$  of virtual links on each simple link.

The calculations are as follows:

On the two central links:

20 
$$N1[1+\text{int}((0.5+1)/2)]*15.52 + N2[1+\text{int}((0.5+1)/2)]*15.52 < 1000 \mu\text{s}$$

$$(N1+N2)*15.52 < 1000 \mu\text{s}$$

Similarly on the other link:

$$(N3+N4)*15.52 < 1000 \mu\text{s}$$

25

The formula for the uplink to ES1 is:

$$N2[1+\text{int}((0.5+1)/2)]*15.52 + N3[1+\text{int}((1.5+1)/2)]*15.52 + N4$$

$$[1+\text{int}((1.5+1)/2)]*15.52 < 1000 \mu\text{s}$$

$$N2*15.52 + 2*(N3+N4)*15.52 < 1000 \mu\text{s}$$

The other uplinks are similar, with appropriate end systems.

We also have the equation:  $N1 = N2 = N3 = N4$ , in which  $5.N1.15.52 < 1000 \mu s$ .

5  $N1 = N2 = N3 = N4 = 12$  virtual links.

Therefore the number of virtual links on an uplink to an end system is  $3 \times 12 = 36$  virtual links. A frame size of 174 bytes gives a physical flow for a virtual  
 10 link with BAG = 2 ms equal to  $1000 / (2 \times (174 + 20) \times 8) = 776\ 000$  bit/s. This gives 36 virtual links corresponding to a physical flow of  $36 \times 776\ 000 = 27.936$  Mbits/s.

It can be seen that most of the disturbance generated by a chosen switch has divided the theoretical  
 15 physical flow that would have occurred on the link (100 Mbits/s) by more than 3.

It is particularly important to note that a virtual link with a BAG equal to 128 ms is as expensive for the network, for example as a virtual link with a BAG equal  
 20 to 4 ms (if the jitter is less than 2). This is due to the 1 term in the formula  $1 + \text{int}(\text{Jitter} + T) / \text{BAG}$ .

In another advantageous embodiment of the process according to the invention, an incremental approach is used in which the virtual links are added one by one,  
 25 checking that the behavior of the complete network remains deterministic after adding a virtual link.

### Aggregation of virtual links

One possible optimization to overcome the disadvantage described above is to aggregate several virtual links to form a single super-virtual link that will be used as a basis in the non-congestion calculation.

Aggregation refers to the fact that several virtual links with a large BAG can be re-regulated with a lower BAG value, such that the low speed virtual links behave like a single higher speed virtual link.

Figure 8A illustrates an example. There are four virtual links VL1, VL2, VL3 and VL4 with BAG = 2 ms and three virtual links VL5, VL6 and VL7 with BAG = 8 ms.

The first 40 of the seven regulators 41 acts as a smoother for the virtual links with BAG = 8 ms. Since the 0.5 ms jitter is guaranteed for the output flow from this regulator, the virtual links with BAG = 8 ms also have the same jitter value. On the other end, it is clear that this model generates more latency for virtual links with BAG = 8 ms.

To make smoothing possible, the non-saturation condition of the first regulator needs to be satisfied.

Number of virtual links to be smoothed  $\times$  BAG smoothing  $\leq$  min (BAG<sub>virtual link</sub>).

This aggregation of virtual links does not cause any loss of segregation. With these virtual links, a packet flow illustrated in figure 8B can be achieved with the indicated numbers being the numbers for the virtual links.



Therefore, with the process according to the invention, it is quite possible to have a large number of virtual links while keeping the mentioned non-congestion property.

5        Figure 9 illustrates an example embodiment for use of the process according to the invention in avionics. In this example, a first switch 50 is connected firstly to a first graphic screen 51 (flight parameters) and to a second graphic screen (flight and maintenance  
10 parameters), and secondly to a second switch 53 itself connected to a flight parameters generator 54 and an aircraft maintenance computer 55.

## REFERENCES

- [1] "Queuing delays in rate controlled networks" by Barnejea and S. Keshav (Proceedings of IEEE INFOCOM'93, pages 547-556, San Francisco, CA, April 1993).
- 5
- [2] "A calculus for network delay" by R. Cruz (Part 1 Network elements in isolation. IEEE Transaction of Information Theory, 37(1), pages 121-141, 1991).
- 10 [3] "A calculus for network delay" by R. Cruz (Part II: Network analysis. IEEE Transaction of Information Theory, 37(1), pages 121-141, 1991).

CLAIMS

1. Process for checking the deterministic behavior of a packet switching network comprising subscriber stations connected to each other through at least one switch, this behavior being said to be deterministic in the sense that any packet sent on the network from a source subscriber station reaches the destination subscriber station(s) within a duration that is limited in time, and characterized in that the following relation is satisfied for each output port from each switch on the network:

10

$$\sum_{\substack{i: \text{ number of virtual links} \\ \text{passing through the buffer}}} \left[ 1 + \text{int} \left( \frac{(\text{Jitter In})_i + \text{max Latency}}{\text{BAG}_i} \right) \right] * (\text{max frame duration}) \leq \text{max latency}$$

in which:

- the max latency value is the maximum residence time in the output buffer of a switch, this value may be different for each switch in the network.
- BAG<sub>i</sub> is the minimum time between two consecutive frames belonging to a vertical link i, before they are transmitted on the physical support.
- (Jitter In)<sub>i</sub> is the Jitter associated with a virtual link i that represents the time interval between the theoretical instant at which a frame is transmitted, and its effective transmission which may be before or after the theoretical instant.

- (max frame duration)  $i$  is the duration of the longest frame on the virtual link  $i$ .

2. Process according to claim 1, in which the virtual  
5 links are added one by one, checking that the behavior of the entire network remains deterministic after each addition of a virtual link.

INPI  
PATENT ADMINISTRATION DIVISION  
26 bis, rue de Saint Pétersbourg  
75800 Paris Cedex 08

PATENT *Cerfa N° 11 235\*03*  
CERTIFICATE OF UTILITY  
Code of intellectual property rights - Book VI

DESIGNATION OF INVENTOR(S) Page n° 1 / 2

INV

Telephone 33(0)1 53 04 53 04  
Fax: 33 (0)1 53 04 52 65

This form must be legibly filled in using black ink DB 113 W /260899

Your references for this file (optional)		SP18415.69/DB DOS 1429DA	
NATIONAL REGISTRATION N°		01.14261 of 05.11.2001	
TITLE OF THE INVENTION (no more than 200 characters or spaces)  PROCESS FOR CHECKING THE DETERMINISTIC BEHAVIOUR OF A PACKET SWITCHING NETWORK			
THE APPLICANT(S): AIRBUS FRANCE  316 route de Bayonne  31060 TOULOUSE			
DESIGNATE AS INVENTOR(S): If there are more than three inventors, use a number of forms. Indicate in the top right-hand corner the page number and the total number of pages)			
1	Name	SAINT ETIENNE	
	Forenames	Jean-François	
	Address	Street	24, chemin Bazardens
		Postal Code and town	31270 CUGNAUX
	Company to which attached (optional)		
2	Name	LOPEZ	
	Forenames	Juan	
	Address	Street	Apt 37 - 16 Impasse René Fonck
		Postal code and town	31300 TOULOUSE
	Company to which attached (optional)		
3	Name	PORTES	
	Forenames	Dominique	
	Address	Street	13, Allée de la Gerbière
		Postal code and town	31320 AUZEVILLE TOLOSANE
	Company to which attached (optional)		
DATE AND SIGNATURE(S) OF APPLICANT(S) OR AGENT (Name and capacity of signatory)  PARIS, 6 NOVEMBER 2001  [signature]  D. DU BOISBAUDRY CPI 950304			

Act of law n° 78-17 of 6 January 1978 on computerized data, files and personal rights applies to the answers given in this form.  
It guarantees the right of access and correction of information held by the INPI office in respect of the applicant

INPI  
PATENT ADMINISTRATION DIVISION  
26 bis, rue de Saint Pétersbourg  
75800 Paris Cedex 08

PATENT *Cerfa N° 11 235\*03*  
**CERTIFICATE OF UTILITY**  
Code of intellectual property rights – Book VI

DESIGNATION OF INVENTOR(S) Page n° 2 / 2 INV  
(If applicant is not the inventor or the sole inventor)

Telephone 33(0)1 53 04 53 04  
Fax: 33 (0)1 53 04 52 65

This form must be legibly filled in using black ink DB 113 W /260899

Your references for this file (optional)		SP18415.69/DB DOS 1429DA	
NATIONAL REGISTRATION N°		01.14261 of 05.11.2001	
TITLE OF THE INVENTION (no more than 200 characters or spaces)			
PROCESS FOR CHECKING THE DETERMINISTIC BEHAVIOUR OF A PACKET SWITCHING NETWORK			
THE APPLICANT(S): AIRBUS FRANCE  316 route de Bayonne  31060 TOULOUSE			
DESIGNATE AS INVENTOR(S): If there are more than three inventors, use a number of forms. Indicate in the top right-hand corner the page number and the total number of pages)			
1 Name		GAMBARDELLA	
Forenames		Eddie	
Address	Street	27 Chemin de Barrieu	
	Postal Code and town	31700	BLAGNAC
Company to which attached (optional)			
2 Name		PASQUIER	
Forenames		Bruno	
Address	Street	Lieu-dit l'Allègre	
	Postal code and town	31530	THIL
Company to which attached (optional)			
3 Name		ALMEDA	
Forenames		Phillipe	
Address	Street	12 rue Jean Rancy	
	Postal code and town	31000	TOULOUSE
Company to which attached (optional)			
DATE AND SIGNATURE(S) OF APPLICANT(S) OR AGENT (Name and capacity of signatory)			
PARIS, 6 NOVEMBER 2001			
[signature]			
D. DU BOISBAUDRY CPI 950304			

Act of law n° 78-17 of 6 January 1978 on computerized data, files and personal rights applies to the answers given in this form.  
It guarantees the right of access and correction of information held by the INPI office in respect of the applicant